G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000- 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 60/00 (Secn. IV)

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month ~~April~~ June 1892.

SUBJECT.

File re The enquiry of a fine on D. Narasingarao, Deputy Amildar of Chennapatna for utilising the Services of a Cook of the Chennapatna Chetrum

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

7692

Submitted to My Secretary

The Mysore file of papers connected with the question of infliction of a fine on the D. Nassing Row, Dy: Amildar of Chennapatna for utilizing the services of a cook of the Chennapatna Chattram in 1892, which had been obtained for reference in connection with his Memorial to His Highness is resubmitted, the ref! having been disposed of

Mysore
9.10.02

Muzrai Department.

Memo submitted to the Dewan for orders.

C. Thimmiah, a pensioned Amildar, whom I temporarily employed as a Muzrai Inspector, was deputed by me in March last to inspect the Government Anna Chattrams on Mysore Road, and submit a report as to how feeding was being conducted therein.

2 During his inspection he noticed on the 17th idem that a party of travellers consisting of 2 males, 5 females and 2 children, made a halt 12 noon in the Chattram at Channapatna, and being much fatigued by travel, they were anxious to get especially the children, who were crying from hunger; but no food could be had the cook being absent the Chattram. From local

enquiry, the Inspector ascertained that the cook had not been working at all in the Chattram for several months past, and that he was engaged in the Deputy Amildar's house as his cook

3. Mr Thummiah sent in his report to me on the 21st of the same month, of what he had observed and I passed on his Report on the same day to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Tribunal for enquiry. The Deputy Commissioner then called for an explanation from the Deputy Amildar who in turn sent for the Chattram servants and obtained their statements, as to what took place on the 17th March and forwarded them to the Deputy Commissioner. The version of the Chattram servants that the [illegible]

for cooked food at all and they simply ~~t~~wished for some raw rice, which was accordingly supplied to them and no rice was therefore cooked ~~at all~~ in the Chattram on the day in question.

4 As regards the allegation against the Deputy Amildar that he was utilizing the services of the Chattram cook for his own purpose, ~~the~~ her ~~Lattoo's~~ explanation is that the cook was in the habit of under-taking private work for others for daily wages without detriment to his duties at the Chattram, and that he the Deputy Amildar, in common with others, employed him as cook whenever his own cook fell ill and that he always paid him his wages for the labor.

The Deputy Commissioner not being satisfied with his explanation, forwarded to

queries for him to answer, and wanted his answers to be recorded categorically against each question in the margin of the paper.

6 The following are the questions set by the Deputy Commissioner and the answers recorded by the Deputy Amildar.

Questions -

(1) Did 2 Brahmin males 5 females, and 2 children halt in the Chattram on the day in question, and is it not a fact that no food was available for them then?

Answers.

(1) It is learnt from enquiry that as the travellers who wished to take meals on that day i,e, 17th did not come, no food was prepared that 2 Brahmin males, 5 widows and 2 children who halted that day and who belonged to one family wished to receive rice ~~Ragi~~ and they were given rice &c at their request.

(2) Is it not a fact that there was no cooking up to 12 noon, and that children were crying for

Mail Train from Mysore and Mixed Train from Bangalore arrive here at 11.1 and 11.9 A.M. respectively and travellers from

for food? Why was there no cooking for the children at least?

from both the Trains and from other places will be coming to the Chattram till 12 noon. It is usual for the Taluk official who goes for Supervision daily at 12. A. M. to get the food prepared after ascertaining the exact number of travellers that come to the Chattram and to give rice &c to those who wish to cook As the children and all belonged to one family and as the elder members wished to cook, they were given rice &c as requested. Hence there was no separate cooking for the Children.

(3) Was the cook absent from the Chattram that day?

Statement given by the Cook and paricharaks to Shew that he was present in the Chattram that day is annexed.

The

7 The Deputy Commissioner Mr. MadhavaRao has now submitted the entire correspondence for the orders of the Government with the following observations:—

"The Deputy Amildar
"evades a direct reply
"to the question, whether
"the children in the party
"were crying for food
"and why they were not
"fed. I think the Deputy
"Amildar was wrong
"in taking away the
"Chattram cook, and
"his conduct in this
"respect, calls for
"severe notice."

8 From the foregoing it is clear that the Deputy Amildar Narasinga Rao

utilized the services of the Chattram cook for his private purposes and in doing so he acted in gross violation of the rules on the subje

Section 8 of the rules issued by late Sir M Cubbon in 1852, regarding the management of Muzrai Institutions and rules are still in force in the Province runs thus:-

"The Amildars and the Taluk Servants are on no account to obtain for their own use, or order to be supplied to their friends, dependents, relatives &c provisions of any sort, or to any extent. The servants of Chattrams and Devasthans shall never be employed by the local officers on

their private or domestic
business, on any pretext
whatever, neither shall the
utensils belonging to those
institutions be ever used
by those officers An in-
- fringement of this rule
shall, if proved, subject
the public servants to heavy
fine, or dismissal, if necessary."

A Secretary

29-4-92

Memo

The Sirkar Chattram at Chennapatna was inspected by the undersigned at 12 A.M. on the 17th Instant. Two Brahmin males, 5 females and 2 children all ~~along~~ belonging to one family halted in the Chattram being quite tired. These were anxious to get their meals and the 2 children were crying especially for food. This threw a pitiful and distressing sight, but no food was had in the Chattram on account of the absence of Chattram cook Anantheerthachari. He is said to be daily working as cook in the house of the Dy. Amildar of Chennapatna for the last 6 months the exact time of course, is not known. The undersigned therefore requests that some early steps may be taken by higher authorities to remove such irregularities in the charitable

institutions 1892 Triennial

Bangalore
21st March 92

Mysore Overseer
on Special duty
of inspection

8115







22-3-92 D Narasimgarao

8423

Yours faithfully,

D. Narasimhan

Before me

D. Narasimharao

Before me

Before me

22.3.92

8423

Correspondence regarding the absence of the cook attached to the Chennapatna Chattram

Question	Answer.
I did 2 Brahmins males 5 females & two children halt in the Chattram on the day in question & is not a fact that no food was available for them then.	It is learnt from enquiry that the travellers who wished to take meals on that day ie 19th did not come, so food was prepared, that 2 Brahmin males 5 widows & 2 children who halted that day & who belonged to one family wished to receive rice &c & that they were given rice &c at their request.
Is it not a fact that there was no cooking up to 12 noon & that children were crying for food. Why was there no cooking for the children at least.	Mail Train from Mysore & Mixed Train from Bangalore arrive here at 11.1 & 11.9 AM respectively & travellers from both the trains & from other places will be coming to the Chattram till 12 Noon. It is usual for the Salary official who goes for duty at 12 AM daily to get the food prepared after ascertaining the exact number of travellers that come to the Chattram & to give rice &c to those who wish to cook. As the children all belonged to one family & as the elder members wished to cook, they were given rice &c as requested. Hence there was no separate cooking for the children.
Was the cook	

I absent from the
Chattram that
day

Statement given
Cool & Parichaikra
that he was present in
Chattram that day as annexed
D Narasimharao
15-4-92

12-4-92.



44

(General Serial No. 30). 10

1892-93

Form

To The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

The Secy: to the Dewan of Mysore Muzrai Deptt.

Dated 25th April 192

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.

D No. 84.

Brings to notice the conduct of the Dy Amildar of Chennapatna in respect to his utilizing the services of the Chattram Cook for his private work.

" " .

27-8-92 No. 2 to 2 No.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—14-8-91.—3,703.

D No 84.
Encl. 1 file

Dy Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 25th April 1892

To

The Secretary to the Dewan of
Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to the Muzrai Inspectors' Memo dated 21st Ultimo, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Amildar of Channapatan reports that the Chattram cook does not work at the Amildars house daily; but that on the days he has no work at the Chattram, as well as on the days the Dy Amildar's cook absents himself, the Chattram cook is engaged for work in the Amildars but without detriment to his duties, and receives daily wages. He adds that a cook and water

man are permanently engaged by him.

2. It is reported that the passengers in Question who halted at the Chattram, that day, refused to eat Cooked food and Cooked their own food with rations distributed to them.

3. The Dy Amildar evades a direct reply to the Question, whether the Children in the party were Crying for food and why they were not fed. I think the Dy Amildar was wrong in taking away the Chattram Cook, and his Conduct in this respect, calls for Severe notice.

4. The Dy Amildar's Wyre Nos 474 and 526 dated 23rd Ultimo and 15th Instant together with his replies to Queries put by me, is enclosed for reference. I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
Madhava Rao
Dy Commissioner

GOVERNMENT OF MYSOR

MUZARAYI.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated Camp Mysore, 8th June 1892.

No. $\frac{277-87.}{14}$

Directing that D. Narasinga Rao, Deputy Amildar of Channapatna, be fined in the sum of Rs. 21—12—0, an amount equal to six months' pay of the Chattram cook, whose services he has improperly utilized and that instances of similar misconduct on the part of any other official in the State will be visited with the full penalty prescribed by the Rules of 1852.

Government Branch Press, Mysore.—9-6-92.—200.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Murrai

Read :-

Letter No. 84 dated 25th. April 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that the Deputy Amildar of Channapatna in the Closepet Taluk improperly utilised the services of the cook of the Government Anna Chattram at the place.

Read also:-

The following memorandum dated 29th. April 1892, from the Murrai Superintendent:-

C. Thimmiah, a pensioned Amildar, whom I temporarily employed as a Murrai Inspector, was deputed by me in March last, to inspect the Government Anna Chattrams on Mysore road, and submit a report as to how feeding was being conducted therein.

2. During his inspection, he noticed on the 17th. idem that a party of travellers consisting of 2 males, 5 females and two children made a halt at 12 noon in the chattram at Channapatna, and being much fatigued by travel, they were anxious to get food - especially the children who were crying from hunger; but no food could be had, the cook being absent from the chattram From local enquiry,

ascertained, that the cook had not been working at all in the chattram for several months past, and that he was engaged in the Deputy Amildar's house as his cook.

3. Mr. Thimmiah sent in his inspection report to me on the 21st. of the same month and I passed it on to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District on the same day for an enquiry into the matter. The Deputy Commissioner thereupon called for an explanation from the Deputy Amildar, who in turn sent for the chattram servants and obtained their statements, as to what took place on the 17th. March, and forwarded them to the Deputy Commissioner. The version of the chattram servants is that, the travellers in question did not apply for cooked food, but they simply wished for some raw rice which was accordingly supplied to them, but they admit that no rice was cooked at all in the chattram on the day in question

4. As regards the accusation against the Deputy Amildar that he was improperly utilising the services of the chattram cook for his own purpose, his explanation is, that the cook was in the habit of undertaking private work for others for daily wages without detriment to his duties at the chattram, and that he, the Deputy Amildar was employing him in his house, whenever his own cook fell ill, but that he invariably paid him his wages for the [illegible]

5. The Deputy Commissioner, not being satisfied with his explanation, forwarded to him a paper with a set of queries for him to answer, and wanted his answers to be recorded categorically against each question on the margin of the paper.

6. The answers recorded by the Deputy Amildar having been found to be unsatisfactory, the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Madhava Rao, submitted the entire correspondence for the orders of the Government with the following observations :—

"The Deputy Amildar evades a direct "reply to the question whether the children "in the party were crying for food and why "they were not fed. I think the Deputy "Amildar was wrong in taking away "the Chattram cook, and his conduct in this "respect calls for severe notice".

7. From the foregoing it is clear that the Deputy Amildar Narasinga Rao, utilized the services of the Chattram cook for his private purposes, and in doing so, he acted in gross violation of the rules on the subject.

Section 8 of the rules issued by the late Sir Mark Cubbon in 1852, regarding the management of the Muzrai institutions, and which rules are still in force in the Province runs thus :—

"The Amildars and the Taluk Servants are on no account to obtain for their own use, or orders to be supplied to their friends, dependents, relatives &c

sort or to any extent. The servants of chattrams and Devasthans shall never be employed by the local officers on their private or domestic business on any pretext whatever, neither shall the utensils belonging to those institutions be ever used by those officers. An infringement of this rule, shall, if proved, subject the public servants to heavy fine or dismissal if necessary."

Order thereon No. 277-87/14
Dated (camp) Mysore 8th June 1892.

It is evident from the records that D. Narasinga Rao, Deputy Amildar of Channapatna utilized the services of the Chattram cook for his domestic work and that he acted thereby in gross violation of the Muzrai rules. His conduct in this respect has been most reprehensible, and he has thereby rendered himself liable to be dismissed the service, under para 8 of the Rules of 1862. But having regard to the general laxity that has prevailed hitherto in the management of the Muzrai Institutions, the Government are inclined to deal leniently with him and they accordingly direct that he be fined in the sum of Rs 21-12 an amount equal to six months pay of the Chattram cook whose services he has improperly utilized. This amount will be [illegible]

next pay Bill and credited to Murrai funds
Future instances of similar misconduct
on the part of any official in the State
will be visited with the full penalty
prescribed by the Rules of 1852.

a Secretary for G.
Secretary.

To.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District
returning the enclosures.

Deputy Commissioners of other
Districts and the Palace controller,
for information.

Comptroller to the Government
of Mysore.

1892.

Government of Mysore.

Mirasi

Proceedings

Dated 8th June 1892.
Camp. Mysore.

No M 277-87
14

Directing that D. Narasimg
Row, Deputy Amildar of
Channapatna be fined in
Sum of Rs 21-12-0, an amom
equal to six months pay of the
Chattiram Cook, whose servi
he has improperly utilized
that instances of similar
misconduct on the part
any other official in the St
will be visited with the fu
penalty prescribed by the
Rules of 1852

Received
8 JUN 1892
3.30 P.M.

Mysore.

Read :—

Letter No. 84 dated 25th April 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that the Deputy Amildar of Channapatna in the Closepet Taluk improperly utilized the services of the cook of the Government Anna Chattram at the place.

Read also :-

The following memorandum dated 29th April 1892, from the Mysore Superintendent :-

C. Thimmiah, a pensioned Amildar whom I temporarily employed as a Mysore Inspector, was deputed by me in March last, to inspect the Government Anna Chattrams on Mysore road, and submit a report as to how feeding was being conducted therein -

2. During his inspection he noticed on the 17th idem that a party of travellers consisting of 2 males, 5 females and two children made a halt at 12 noon in the Chattram at Channapatna, and being much fatigued by travel, they were anxious to get food - especially the children who were crying from hunger; but no

food could be had," the cook being absent from the Chattram. From local enquiry, the Inspector ascertained that the cook had not been working at all in the Chattram for several months past, and that he was engaged in the Deputy Amildar's house as his cook.

3. Mr Thimmiah sent in his inspection report to me on the 21st of the same month and I passed it on to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District on the same day for an enquiry into the matter. The Dy Commr thereupon called for an explanation from the Dy Amildar, who in turn sent for the Chattram servants and obtained their statements, as to what took place on the 17th March and forwarded them to the Dy Commissioner. The version of the Chattram servants is, that the travellers in question did not apply for cooked food, but they simply wished for some raw rice which was accordingly supplied to them, but they ~~were obliged to~~ admit that no rice was cooked at all in the Chattram on the day in question.

4. As regards the accusation against the Dy Amildar that he was improperly utilising the services of the Chattram cook

for his own purpose, his explanation is, that the cook was in the habit of under-taking private work for others for daily wages without detriment to his duties at the Chattram, and that he, the Deputy Amildar was employing him in his house whenever his own cook fell ill, but that he invariably paid him his wages for the labor.

5. The Deputy Commissioner not being satisfied with his explanation, forwarded to him a paper with a set of queries for him to answer, and wanted his answers to be recorded categorically against each question on the margin of the paper.

6. The answers recorded by the Deputy Amildar having been found to be unsatisfactory, the Dy Commissioner, Mr. Madhava Rao, submitted the entire correspondence for the orders of the Government with the following observations:

"The Deputy Amildar evades a "direct reply to the question whether the "children in the party were crying for food "and why they were not fed. I think the "Deputy Amildar was wrong in taking away "the Chattram cook, and his conduct in "this respect calls for severe notice."

7 From the [illegible]oing it is clear that

the Dy Amildar Narasinga Rao, utilized the services of the Chattram cook for his private purposes and in doing so, he acted in gross violation of the rules on the subject.

Section 8 of the rules issued by the late Sir Mark Cubbon in 1852, regarding the management of the Muttrai Institutions and which rules are still in force in the province runs thus:—

"The Amildars and the Taluk servants are on no account to obtain for their own use, or order to be supplied to their friends, dependents, relatives &c provisions of any sort or to any extent. The servants of chattrams and Devasthans shall never be employed by the local officers on their private or domestic business, on any pretext whatever, neither shall the utensils belonging to those institutions be ever used by those officers. An infringement of this rule, shall, if proved, subject the public servants to heavy fine or dismissal, if necessary."

Order thereon No. 277-87

D/ ~~Bangalore~~ Camp Mysore 8th June 14 1892.

It is evident from the records that D. Narasinga Rao, Deputy Amildar of Channapatna, utilized the services of

of the Chattram cook for his domestic work and that he acted thereby in gross violation of the Mysore rules. His conduct in this respect has been ~~very improper and~~ most reprehensible, and ~~under the rules he~~ he has thereby rendered himself liable to be dismissed the service under para 8 of the Rules of 1852 - But ~~considering~~ having regard to the laxity that has prevailed hitherto in the management of the Mysore Institutions, the Government are ~~not disposed~~ inclined to deal leniently with him & they accordingly ~~to take this extreme step in the present instance, but will deal with the case rather indulgently~~ - They therefore direct that ~~D. Narasinga Rao~~ he be fined in the sum of ~~Rs~~ 21-12-- an amount equal to six months pay of the Chattram cook, whose services he has improperly utilized. This amount will be recovered from ~~his~~ his next pay Bill ~~at once~~ and credited to Mysore funds. Future instances of similar misconduct on the part of any official in the State will be visited with the full penalty prescribed by the Rules of 1852.

Secretary

8/5

To. The Dy Commr. Bangalore District
returning the enclosures.
--- Dy Commrs. of other Districts and the Palace controller for information
--- Comptroller, Mysore Government.

22/7/03

OFFICE OF THE DEM...
RECEIVED
Date 21-7-03
REG. No. 108
MUZRAI BRANCH

Vide Circular No.

Inst. dated

24th June 03

To

మేజిస్ట్రేటు ధంధ్రాణదరు చూరు దివాణశిస్థేదిరు
బరాయించుటాబడిన స్థిధ్రానకో

చెంనపట్టణ దాల్మికేశవాబడ్డిరు వరకారిణి అంన వత్రదకాళలక్కోసం
స్థలదవాయి అదాని

నానుఈవత్రద స్థిలనోక్కవర్గనధుంద కారణాని మేడ్డిర్పడు ముతన్మిజి క్రీ తీరియవరాదమేశ్రేణివాంగాచా
శ్వరవరు వత్రదల్లి శోబ్బించిరిస్థిబేవాంతెకెట్టిక్కె కాల దల్లి అదిసేనైనం
మ్మంద వరాహాంతిబం దంధ్రాఆగంశవరు నళినాక్షి అదిసేవాంతెబ్బి
కొండు అల్పనోమాడిదరు ఈన వత్రక్కెవి శోక్కవాసి క్రీంమా గోడరు
బరుత్తారాద్దరింద వత్రదల్లి అతిసిసిదేనం ఇల్లదే కేవల మొందరి
యూసిరు క్కే మాపం దరవరు శిదాక్ష వట్టుమోవణం అదిసేన
నం ఇట్టకారణక్కాగిసిల్లవన్నన నందాకేసదుమో భ్యవంది
క్షేంద యురాం అల్ప బేక్కేందు ప్రార్థిసుత్తేనే ఇంతి.

తే 21-7-2003నేఇసవి